

JAMES HANSEN CONTRADICTS HIMSELF & THE UK CLIMATE ACT (WHICH HE ALSO CONDEMNS) Now says; There's no practical way to a global cap on emissions. Answer; its never been tried.


1. 'Too weak' HANSEN **ON UK Climate Act**





2. HANSEN

3. HANSEN IN CHINA



HANSEN'S - "UKCA too weak." Global Budgets in US or in China.

Hansen insists he's advocating scenario '2' [at least in the USA]. He went to China in Feb 2014 & advocated scenario '3' to the Chinese Government, saying: -


"target of limiting warming to 1.5 degrees is feasible, the principal requirement is fossil fuel emissions peak in 2020 & then come down at 2% a year with 27 Gt C drawdown from improved forestry & agriculture."

However, he also went to the UK Parliament in June 2013 to tell them '1', "the UKCA target of 2° is too weak." http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201314/cmselect/cmenvaud/uc60-i/uc6001.htm

WHO DO YOU TRUST?

Hansen's China Budget '3' is 516 Gt C, giving a 440 ppmv concentration outcome & temperature below 1.5 °

The UKCA Budget '1' is 480 Gt C, giving a 423 ppmv concentration outcome, & temperature rising above 2°



http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchObject.action?uri=info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0081648&representation=PDF

NOTE - both models omit significant feedback effects.